IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS SAN ANTONIO DIVISION

JONATHAN VILLARREAL,	§	
Individually and derivatively on behalf	§	
Of ZROBLACK, LLC	§	
Plaintiffs,	§	
v.	§	No. 5:20-cv-00571-OLG
	§	
JOHN SAENZ, et al.,	§	
Defendants.	§	

MOTION FOR ENTRY OF STIPULATION AND AGREED ORDER FOR DEADLINES RELATED TO DEFENDANTS' REPLIES TO

- (I) PLAINTIFFS' RESPONSE TO DEFENDANTS GUNN, LEE & CAVE, P.C.'S AND MIGUEL VILLARREAL'S SECOND RULE 12(B)(6) MOTION TO DISMISS [DKT. 45] AND
 - (II) PLAINTIFF'S RESPONSE TO DEFENDANT JOHN SAENZ'S RULE 12(B)(6) MOTION TO DISMISS [DKT 46]

TO THE HONORABLE RICHARD FARRER, UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE:

COME NOW Defendants JOHN SAENZ, MIGUEL VILLARREAL, JR., and GUNN, LEE, & CAVE, P.C. and move this Court for entry of an order setting forth deadlines under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 7 and Local Rule CV-7 pursuant to the stipulation of the Parties (as defined herein). Plaintiffs JONATHAN VILLARREAL, individually and derivatively on behalf of ZROBLACK, LLC and Defendants JOHN SAENZ, MIGUEL VILLARREAL, JR., and GUNN, LEE, & CAVE, P.C. (collectively, the "Parties") have reached an agreement related to various deadlines and request the Court enter an order establishing those deadlines.

- 1. In response to Plaintiffs' Amended Complaint, the Defendants filed motions to dismiss pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 12(b)(6).
- 2. Plaintiffs filed their Response to Defendants Gunn, Lee & Cave, P.C.'s and Miguel Villarreal's Second Rule 12(b)(6) Motion to Dismiss [Dkt 45] and Plaintiffs' Response to John

Saenz's Rule 12(b)(6) Motion to Dismiss [Dkt 46] (together, the "Responses") on February 25,

2021. By agreement of the parties, and due to the inclement weather and associated utility

malfunctions experienced during the week of February 15, 2021, the deadline for the Responses

had been extended.

3. Under Local Rule CV-7, the deadline for the Defendants to file Replies to the

Responses would be March 4, 2021. However, counsel for the Defendants requested an additional

week, because the exigent circumstances listed above have created a backlog of work. Plaintiffs'

counsel is not opposed to the extension.

4. The Parties specifically stipulate as follows: Pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil

Procedure 7 and Local Rule CV-7, the Defendants' deadline to Reply to the Responses shall be

March 11, 2021.

5. The extension is not sought for improper purposes and will not materially delay the

adjudication of this case.

WHEREFORE, PREMISES CONSIDERED, the Parties pray that the Court enter an order

substantially in the form attached hereto as **Exhibit A** establishing the deadline for the Defendants

to file their Replies to the Responses, and for such other relief to which they may be entitled at law

or equity.

Dated March 2, 2021.

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Natalie F. Wilson

LANGLEY & BANACK, INCORPORATED

745 E. Mulberry, Suite 700 San Antonio, Texas 78212

Sali Alitollio, Texas /6212

(210) 736-6600 Telephone

(210) 735-6889 Telecopier

Email: nwilson@langleybanack.com

gspencer@langleybanack.com

2

GEORGE H. SPENCER, JR. State Bar No. 18921001 NATALIE F. WILSON State Bar No. 24067769

COUNSEL TO MIGUEL VILLARREAL, JR., and GUNN, LEE & CAVE, PC

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on March 2, 2021, a true and correct copy of the above and foregoing instrument was served via the Court's electronic transmission facilities on:

Steven A. Costello Joseph L. Lanza Charles M.R. Vethan VETHAN LAW FIRM 11459 Huebner Road, Suite 101 San Antonio, TX 78230

Counsel to Plaintiffs

David A. Vanderhider Ryan J. Sullivan DYKEMA GOSSETT PLLC 112 E. Pecan Street - Suite 1800 San Antonio, TX 78205

Counsel to Defendant John Saenz

/s/ Natalie F. Wilson
NATALIE F. WILSON